

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address of Addison Sockers of Catholic And Examples
Wednesdor Def 2002 11
www.opple.gov

Summary of the second			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Guy Rousseau	VANM198,001A	7462
09 763.535	02 20 2001	*****		

7590

01-03.2002

Knobbe Martens Olsons & Bear 620 Newport Center Drive Sixteenth Floor Newport Beach, CA 92660

 EXAMINER	
 PAPPU, SITA S	

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1632 DATE MAILED: 01/03.2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)	
	•	09/763,535		ROUSSEAU ET	AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Sita S Pappu		1632	addross
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cov	er sheet wit	th the correspondence	auure55
A SHOP THE MA - Extension after SID - If the pe - If NO po - Failure	REPLY RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta ply received by the Office later than three months after the ma patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(1.136(a). In no event, ho reply within the statutory riod will apply and will expi	owever, may a reminimum of thirty ire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered to the considered to the mailing date of the considered to the con	imely. nis communication.
1.√	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·			
· ·	2h\\\\\1	This action is not	n-final.		in the merite is
2a) <u>□</u> 3) <u>□</u>	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	fo	r formal ma	atters, prosecution as to .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	כו פוווטווו שוני ט
ispositio	on of Claims				
4157	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	deration		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consi	ueration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊡	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.				
→ \[□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	171	uirement		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election rec	junement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
	: : binoted to by the Exa	aminer.	hipstod to h	v the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	ibjected to D	evance. See 37 CFR 1.3	85(a).
,	The drawing(s) filed onis/are. a)Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) t	DLUNEY PIL	disapproved by the E	Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is. a)L_1 ap	p10404 /L		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	id ill reply to and a			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	IIIC LAGIIIIIICI.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	بعروه ومقلمها مساميها	der 35 II S	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
13)[] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	toreign priority un	uci 55 0.0.		
6	None of:				
!	· sub-a majority doc	cuments have bee	n received.	in Application No.	·
		monte have hee	in received	III Application	lational Stage
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	the priority docume onal Bureau (PCT	ents have b Rule 17.2()	(a)).	
	* See the attached detailed Office action is	domestic priority u	ınder 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a pro	ovisional application
14)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for c a) The translation of the foreign langu	lage provisional a	oplication h	as been received.	34
15)[a) The translation of the foreign langu Acknowledgment is made of a claim for 	domestic priority			21.
Attachr	ment(s)		🗀	Summary (PTO-413)	Paper No(s)
1) 🔟 N	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape)-948) er No(s) <u>4</u> .	5) Not 6) Oth	tice of Informal Patent Applic	
3) 🔼	IIIIUIIIIauuri Disolosais Steeleesi () (Office Action Summ			Part of Paper No. 8

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary amendment filed on February 20, 2001 has been entered. Claims 1-8 are amended and claim 9 has been added.

Claims 1-9 are pending in the instant application. This paper contains an examination of the claims 1-9 on their merits.

Drawings

Drawings are objected to by the draftsperson. See attached PTO-948. Drawings are acceptable for examination purposes only.

Specification

The abstract is not on a separate sheet of paper as required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1-6 are directed to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a polynucleotide encoding a peptide of the ONECUT gene family, wherein the polynucleotide can be an isoform of HNF-6 or OC-2 or OC-3 from humans, a vector

Art Unit: 1632

comprising the said polynucleotide, the polypeptide encoded by the said polynucleotide, and a cell line transformed with said vector.

While the specification is enabling for a polynucleotide encoding HNF-6 or OC-2 or OC-3, no pharmaceutical property is shown and/or enabled by the specification for the reasons discussed herein below. Thus the specification does not enable one skilled in the art to make and use the claimed invention.

Claims 7-9 are drawn to a method of using the polynucleotide of claim1 in gene therapy for the prevention and/or for the treatment of diabetes, cancer, and Waardenburg syndrome. The specification does not disclose the use of these polynucleotides in gene therapy. Nor does it disclose the use of these polynucleotides in the treatment of any disease(s). Thus the specification is not enabling for the use of these agents in gene therapy and/or for the treatment of any of the diseases. The specification states that HNF-6 is essential in HNF6 knockout mice, for the functioning and formation of the islets of langerhans and for the response of the organism to insulin (see page 4, lines 9-13). Further, the specification only discloses that the diabetes of the HNF6 knockout mice is spontaneously cured with an increase in OC2 in pancreas (page 4, lines 31-34). Other than this, the specification does not disclose any pharmaceutical property for the said polynucleotide in the form of a composition. Prophetic examples of how diabetes can be induced in rats followed by how cells that are stably transfected with the said polynucleotide in a vector can be injected into the said diabetic rat are provided (page 13, lines lines 17-19, 21-24; page 14, lines 11-17). These examples in the specification do not disclose the phenotype or behavior of the

Art Unit: 1632

said rat after injecting the said cells into the rat and/or the efficacy of the polynucleotide in treating the diabetes in the rat. In the absence of specific guidance, one of skill in the art would be required to engage in undue experimentation to make and use the invention as claimed.

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue." These factors include, but are not limited to: (a) the breadth of the claims; (b) the nature of the invention; (c) the state of the prior art; (d) the relative skill of those in the art; (e) the level of predictability in the art; (f) the amount of direction provided by the inventor; (g) the existence of working examples; and (h) whether the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure is "undue" (MPEP 2164.01 (a)).

In the instant case, the claims 1-9 are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition and a method of using the said composition in the prevention and/or treatment of diabetes, cancer and/or Waardenburg syndrome. The specification teaches only polynucleotides of the ONECUT family but fails to disclose any pharmaceutical property or the mode of using the said polynucleotide in treating the claimed diseases. The specification does not teach how to make and use the invention as claimed. Even though the skill of an artisan in this subject area is considered to be very high, it would require undue experimentation on the part of an artisan to make and use the claims as

Art Unit: 1632

specified and use the invention as claimed. The specification and the working examples do not provide sufficient guidance to practice the invention as claimed.

When considering the predictability of this invention, one has to remember that many of the phenotypes examined in transgenic and knockout models are influenced by the genetic background in which they are studied and the effect of allelic variation and the interaction between the allelic variants (Sigmund, 2000, pg.1425, paragraph 1). Further, the particular genetic elements required for optimal expression varies from species to species. Our lack of understanding of essential genetic control elements makes it difficult to design transgenes with predictable behavior (Wall, 1996). Therefore, in the absence of specific guidance and working examples, the use of the claimed polynucleotide composition in the treatment and prevention of diseases is unpredictable. In such a situation, one skilled in the art would not know how to make and use the invention as claimed, without undue experimentation. In view of the limited guidance in the specification, and limited working examples directed to rats, and the unpredictability of the art, one skilled in the art would be required to engage in undue experimentation, in order to make and use the invention over any scope as claimed.

At the time of filing, gene therapy utilizing the direct administration of recombinant nucleic acids, whether in the form of retroviruses, adenoviruses, or plasmid DNA/liposome complexes, was considered to be highly unpredictable. Verma et al. states that, "[t]he Achilles heel of gene therapy is gene delivery...", and that, "most of the approaches suffer from poor efficiency of delivery and transient expression of the gene" (Verma et al. (1997) Nature, Vol. 389, page 239, column 3, paragraph 2). Marshall

Art Unit: 1632

concurs, stating that, "difficulties in getting genes transferred efficiently to target cellsand getting them expressed-remain a nagging problem for the entire field", and that, "many problems must be solved before gene therapy will be useful for more than the rare application" (Marshall (1995) Science, Vol. 269, page 1054, column 3, paragraph 2, and page 1055, column 1). Orkin et al. further states in a report to the NIH that, "... none of the available vector systems is entirely satisfactory, and many of the perceived advantages of vector systems have not been experimentally validated", and that," [w]hile the expectations and the promise of gene therapy are great, clinical efficacy has not been definitively demonstrated at this time in any gene therapy protocol" (Orkin et al. (1995) "Report and recommendations of the panel to assess the NIH investment in research on gene therapy", page 1, paragraph 3, and page 8, paragraph 2). Among the many factors that the art teaches affect efficient gene delivery and sustained gene expression are anti-viral immune responses, particularly against adenoviral proteins, and the identity of the promoter used to drive gene expression. Verma et al. teaches that weak promoters produce only low levels of protein, and that only by using appropriate enhancer-promoter combinations can sustained levels of therapeutically effective protein expression be achieved (Verma et al., supra, page 240, column 2). Verma et al. further warns that, "... the search for such combinations is a case of trial and error for a given type of cell" (Verma et al., supra, page 240, bridging sentence of columns 2-3). Thus, the art at the time of filing clearly establishes that expectation for achieving a desired therapeutic effect in vivo by expressing a therapeutic gene using any of the expression constructs known in the art at the time of filing was extremely low.

Art Unit: 1632

Further, Halban et al. (2001; Diabetes, volume 50, pp2181-2191) in their review on the gene and cell-replacement therapy in the treatment of diabetes caution that "we not forget the age-old adage that a rodent is not a human in more ways than just appearance" (page 2187, left column, paragraph 2, lines 5-7). Halban et al. further state that the use of rodent models may be useful for certain aspects of the metabolism, it has the potential to be misleading for others, including glucose disposal (page 2187, left column, paragraph 3, lines 1-4). They further state that when using animal models to test new systems, one must keep in mind that the efficacy of glucose uptake by insulin-independent mechanisms in animals is commonly greater than in humans and that simply translating animal findings as the likely observation in humans may be risky (page 2187, left column, paragraph 3, lines 26-31).

Thus, due to the art recognized unpredictability of achieving therapeutic levels of gene expression following direct or indirect administration of nucleic acid vectors, and the unpredictability of extending the results of animal systems to humans, the lack of guidance provided by the specification for the parameters affecting delivery and expression of therapeutic amounts of DNA into the cells, the lack of guidance concerning the treatment of diabetes, cancer, and Waardenburg syndrome using the polynucleotide of the instant invention, it would have required undue experimentation to practice the instant invention and the skilled artisan would not have predicted success in treating or preventing the wide variety of diseases as claimed.

Art Unit: 1632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lemaigre et al. (1996; PNAS vol. 93, pp 9460-9464).

Although the composition is not enabled for its intended use as a pharmaceutical, the composition itself is disclosed in the prior art. The polynucleotide that comprises the pharmaceutical composition of claims 1 and 2, was anticipated by Lemaigre et al. (1996). Lemaigre et al. disclose the nucleotide sequence of HNF-6, a member of the ONECUT family. They disclose that this sequence has been deposited in the GenBank data base under the accession number X96553. See page 9460, right column, Foot Note; page 9460, abstract; and page 9462, Figure 2).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lannoy et al. (1998; Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 273, no.22, pp 13552-13562).

Although the composition is not enabled for its intended use as a pharmaceutical, the composition itself is disclosed in the prior art. Lannoy et al. (1998) disclose the sequences of the two isoforms of HNF-6 which sequences were submitted to GenBank under the accession number Y14933. See page 13552, Foot Note and page 13554, left column, first paragraph in the results section.

Art Unit: 1632

Claims 3, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacquemin et al. (1999; Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 274, no.5, pp 2665-2671).

Although the composition is not enabled for its intended use as a pharmaceutical, the composition itself is disclosed in the prior art. Jacquemin et al. (1999) disclose the nucleotide sequence of human OC-2, a member of the ONECUT family (see page 2666, Fig. 1A). Jacquemin et al. (1999) disclose the OC-3 sequence in Fig. 1B on page 2667. The OC-3 sequence is disclosed indirectly in Jacquemin et al. Fig. 1B discloses two sequences: sequence of fosmid F37502 and cosmid F21967. On page 2670, paragraph 2, they disclose that these sequences represent the OC-3 sequence.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krolewski (1998; PCT International publication Number WO 98/23780).

Although the composition is not enabled for its intended use as a pharmaceutical, the composition itself is disclosed in the prior art. Krolewski discloses the use of plasmid and viral vectors carrying a polynucleotide of the ONECUT family, along with the use of cationic liposomes (page 17, lines 2-13), and thus, claim 6 is anticipated by Krolewski.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sita S Pappu whose telephone number is (703) 305-5039. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

Art Unit: 1632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Crouch, acting SPE, can be reached on (703) 308-1126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746 7442 for regular communications and (703) 746 7442 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group patent analyst, whose telephone number is (703) 305-2758.

S. Pappu December 27, 2001

DEBORAH CROUCH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1809/62